



Idaho Department of Correction Grievance Form

Offender Name: IRVING, PATRICK SEAN

Location: IMSI

Offender Number: 82431

Number: IM 200000456

Category: SECURITY

Offender Grievance Information

Date Received: 09/17/2020

The problem is:

JPay message confiscated 9-6-20 for encouraging violence was reporting violence, not encouraging it. I am disturbed that this is becoming a patter. Riots happen in prison. Deaths happen in prison. Just because they're reported doesn't meant they're encouraged. Censoring media coverage is not okay.

I have tried to solve this problem informally by:

Concern form

I suggest the following solution for the problem:

Provide the message that was censored. Retrain staff to understand the difference between reporting violence and encouraging it.

Level 1 - Initial Response

Date Forwarded: 09/17/2020

Date Returned:

09/30/2020

Date Due Back: 10/01/2020

Level 1 Responder:

ROE, NATHAN

The response from the staff member or person in charge of the area/operation being grieved:

The Jpay mail was censored for the graphic details of violence caused in another prison. There is no way of knowing the intent of the sender and if it is meant to share media coverage or to encourage the same violence in IDOC Facilities. Based on the details of the message the email was confiscated. You are also not allowed to send or receive information about another offender or crime even if it is a crime in another state.

Level 2 - Reviewing Authority Response

Date Forwarded:	09/30/2020	Grievance Disposition:	GRANTED
Date Due Back:	10/16/2020	Level 2 Responder:	BAIRD, NICHOLAS
Date Returned:	10/20/2020	Response sent to offender:	10/20/2020

Your grievance has been reviewed and I find:

These can be returned to this inmate.

Offender Appeal

Offender Comments:

As of 10-21-20 this message has not been returned to me despite the ruling in my favor on the 20th. Additionally, no attention was given to my request to further train Mr. Roe, who helps highlight the need for it in his initial response: He himself says "there is no way of knowing the intent of the sender and if it is meant to share media coverages or to encourage the same violence in IDOC facilities." This directly contradicts the reason he provided on the message's confiscation form, which stated as a fact that the send was "encouraging violence in prison." Please identify the root of Nathan's confusion, address it, and let the communication through as Nicholas Baird has so kindly instructed. Thank you.

Level 3 - Appellate Authority Response

Date Appealed:	10/22/2020	Grievance Disposition:	GRANTED
Date Forwarded:	10/22/2020	Level 3 Responder:	DAVIS, TYRELL
Date Due Back:	11/06/2020	Response sent to offender:	

Date Returned:

Your appeal has been reviewed and I find:

I have reviewed the grievance and find that it was released by facility investigations on 10/27/20.